# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DR. MANHUA MANDY LIN,	:	
Plaintiff,	: :	
V.	: CIVIL ACTION NO. 02-CV-3612	
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY,	: :	
Defendant.	: :	
<u>ORDER</u>		
AND, NOW, this	day of, 2002, upon	
consideration of Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss and Plaintiff's response thereto, it is		
hereby ORDERED that Defendant's Motion is GRANTED. It is further ORDERED that:		
1. Count VI (defamation)	and Count VII (invasion of privacy) of the	
Amended Complaint are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.		
2. Plaintiff's demand for	punitive damages and for a jury trial under Counts	
III and V of the Amended Complaint are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE;		
3. Plaintiff's demand for	attorneys' fees under Count VI (defamation),	
Count VII (invasion of privacy) and Count VIII (intentional infliction of emotional distress) of		
the Amended Complaint are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE; and		
4. All references to the Fo	ourteenth Amendment of the United States	
Constitution in Count I of the Amended Complaint are STRICKEN.		
-	J.	

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DR. MANHUA MANDY LIN,

Plaintiff,

v. : CIVIL ACTION NO. 02-CV-3612

:

ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY,

•

Defendant.

#### **DEFENDANT'S PARTIAL MOTION TO DISMISS**

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and 12(f), defendant moves to dismiss and strike portions of the Amended Complaint. Defendant specifically moves to dismiss Count VI (defamation) and Count VII (invasion of privacy/false light) of the Amended Complaint, plaintiff's demand for punitive damages and for a jury trial in Counts III and V of the Amended Complaint, which are claims under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43

Pa.C.S.A. §955, and plaintiff's request for attorneys' fees under her state law tort claims as presented in Counts VI, VII and VIII of the Amended Complaint. Defendant also moves to strike all references to the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution in Count I.

Defendant incorporates herein the accompanying Memorandum of Law as support for the

Motion. For any and all of the reasons presented therein, the Court should grant this motion.

Respectfully submitted,

#### /s/ Raymond A. Kresge

RAYMOND A. KRESGE
MELANIE MECKA KENNEDY
Klett Rooney Lieber & Schorling, P.C.
Two Logan Square, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19103-2756
(215) 567-7500
and
CELIA JOSEPH
Rohm and Haas Company
100 Independence Mall West
Philadelphia, PA 19106-2399
(215) 592-3661

Attorneys for Defendant

KRLSPHI:178321.1 2

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 15 <sup>th</sup> day of October 2002, I caused to be served a true
and correct copy of the foregoing Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss and Supporting
Memorandum of Law by first-class mail, postage prepaid upon plaintiff's counsel:

Hugh J. Hutchinson Leonard Tillery & Sciolla LLP 1515 Market Street, 18<sup>th</sup> Fl. Philadelphia, PA 19102-2068

> /s/ Raymond A. Kresge RAYMOND A. KRESGE